

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_86100
Title of the Manuscript:	Quadruple cropping patterns in Bangladesh: Scope and limitations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	<p>The manuscript is well-written and explains the research clearly. Results presented in the present manuscript are interesting and it shows that farmers should be trained, educated and helped to make chemical analysis of the soil and apply fertilizers according to the deficiencies found in the soil, at least once every few years, if it is not possible every year due to analysis costs.</p> <p>Title and abstract clearly state the objective of this experiment.</p> <p>Conclusions are correct and summarize the results obtained in the experiment.</p> <p>Overall, a very good manuscript.</p>	Thanks for your positive comments

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	