

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Soil Research Journal
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_85385
Title of the Manuscript:	Evaluation of soil modification Feldspar, Compost and Biochar on cultivating cowpea (Vigna unguiculata ssp. unguiculata) plant and soil sandy clay loam properties.
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	The work is interesting and solid. Regarding the format, it would be advisable to remove the final periods in the titles. I also find it hard to understand using "ton/fed rate" as a unit of measure and what this implies. As for the conclusions, I would recommend that they be more specific in terms of the findings... The format should be standardized, in terms of font size and line spacing.	Correction made OK
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	