

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Soil Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ASRJ_81200
Title of the Manuscript:	SOIL SURVEY AND LAND SUITABILITY EVALUATION FOR SUGARCANE PRODUCTION ON CHEMICAL PROPERTIES IN ZAGGA DISTRICT, BAGUDO LOCAL GOVERNMENT AREA, KEBBI STATE, NIGERIA.
Type of the Article	

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalasrj.com/index.php/ASRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- Shorten the title. Proposed title: Assessment of land suitability for sugar cane production - case study Zagga district, Kebbi, Nigeria</li><li>- Rephrase the abstract and move most of the text from the abstract to the introduction, and expand the introduction and add more recent references. The abstract should only be a brief overview of what is written in the paper, and there is too much information about research in this paper. This information should be arranged in the introduction to the paper and in the methodology (soil acidity, amount of land units, size, classification of land according to USDA ...)</li><li>- Keywords are not adequately written. Recommendation: delete the word for, and instead of the word Chemical - write chemical treatment, then only: Sugar cane, Production</li><li>- Newer literature needs to be inserted.</li></ul>	Amended revision  Corrected
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	