

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_86099 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | A universal key to rationally select, among the series of nonparametric estimators of species richness, which one performs best, according to the incomplete sampling involved |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|--|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | The manuscript is fine, but the title seems too long It will be more interesting if put some examples | Thanks for the review I agree: the title has been shortened accordingly. As regards examples, no less than 18 examples of applications are provided in references n° 7, 12, 13 and 15 to 29, all of them being easily accessed freely by interested readers, so I do not consider necessary to add such examples in detail in the present paper |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |