

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_84971
Title of the Manuscript:	Growth Performance of Albino Rats Orally Administered Honey from Apis mellifera adansonii and Refined Sugar at Varying Levels
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarrb.com/index.php/ARRB/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<div>1. The study is interesting as it is supporting the use of alternate sweetener honey instead of refined white sugar</div> <div>2. Some of the corrections as mentioned in comment box in manuscript itself may be done.</div> <div>3. As the experiment has been carried out on rats, animal ethics committee number should be mentioned</div> <div>4. Results may be supported with photographs of animals during feeding</div>	All corrections were done except that there are no available pictures of feeding
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	