

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARRB_84202
Title of the Manuscript:	BUILDING PROCESS OF POTATO VIRUS Y MANAGEMENT ON TOBACCO PLANTS IN THE NORTHERN VIETNAM
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	This work can be published after a review of format and writting. Please: Clearly state, on the Introduction, that the aim of your paper is a review on management of PVY virus. 1. review references numbers (for instance, on the text, the first one is [22]) and format (Latorra, 1984 is, in fact, [15], but it will change after revision, and so on). MOrevoer, find refs [8]-[10]-[13]-[15]-[16]-[17]-[18] on the text. 2. Explain acronyms (for instance TMV + CMV, they were described only in page 3!) 3. "Cytosinpeptidemycin và Salicylic acid" – meaning of và? 4. "The object of this study was to evaluate the effective control", page 3 – please clarify it was not your objective but ref. [6] (for instance, the term " Our conclusions " implies it is not only your paper but also this one)	I agree with the reviewer and have edited it according to Reviewer's comment
Minor REVISION comments	"spreading quickly on the tobacco field and reduce causing significant " - spreading quickly on the tobacco field and causing ? "Use special agents follow the 4 correct rule " – which are they?	I agree with the reviewer and have edited and added them according to Reviewer's comment
Optional/General comments	as sources as inoculum ? Distroy composton infective – misspelling	I agree with the reviewer and have edited and added them according to Reviewer's comment

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	