

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Annual Research &amp; Review in Biology</a>   |
| Manuscript Number:       | <b>Ms_ARRB_83352</b>  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | <b>In-Vitro Susceptibility Profile of Pseudomonas aeruginosa to Selected Medicinal Plants Obtained from University of Calabar Farm, Nigeria</b> |
| Type of the Article      |   |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|---|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Use the statistical analysis for the zone of inhibition of different plants to be more conclusive and determine if they are significantly different against the bacterium.</li></ul>  | The result of the statistical analysis has been stated.   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Introduction is insufficient no information why they used <i>P. aeruginosa</i> instead of other bacteria.</li><li>Check the writings of plants scientific names</li><li>Protocols for the different biochemical tests employed for the presumptive identification of the isolates were not mentioned, or they can add the source at least.</li><li>Separate table for plants organs (stem, leaves, and roots) antibacterial effects against <i>P. aeruginosa</i>. (If not, all parts were used specify in the table the part/s that was used)</li></ul> | Necessary corrections have been made  |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>Result and Discussion will be revised if the statistical analysis zone of inhibition results is different.</li></ul>  | No change has been made on the result and discussion, so the statistical analysis remains the same.   |

### **PART 2:**

|   | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|---|--|---|
| <b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b> | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> |   |