

Review Form 1.6

| | |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name: | Annual Research & Review in Biology |
| Manuscript Number: | Ms_ARRB_75699 |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Effect of fermentation with rumen content on the feeding value of boiled iron tree (<i>Prosopisafricana</i>) seedcoat on haematology and serum biochemistry of broiler chickens |
| Type of the Article | Original Research Article |

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| <u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments | | |
| <u>Minor</u> REVISION comments | Check formatting throughout the manuscript. Check space and the use of grammar throughout the manuscript. Must indicate the significant values in result as per tables. Add graphical methodology for clear understanding. Check references in the list and text and add some latest references. Conclusion is too short. Elaborate it. | Format revised Correction made Done |
| <u>Optional/General</u> comments | | |

PART 2:

| | Reviewer's comment | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|--|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | <i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i> | |