



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Annual Research & Review in Biology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ ARRB _68317
Title of the Manuscript:	Lycopene - A review: Chemistry, Source, Health role, Extraction, Applications.
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/journal/10/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1. Include Table 2 under lycopene as antioxidant.	There is no table 02, It was a typing mistake.
Minor REVISION comments	1. Remove the section- Reducing blindness by lycopene as the reference provided does not contain related discussion. 2. Kindly change the title Solvent extraction method for lycopene to Lycopene quantification method.	01. "Reducing blindness by lycopene", removed. 02. Title changed.
Optional/General comments	1. Will it be feasible to include other synthesis method which are useful for food and pharma use?	01. For food and pharma, it will be feasible to utilize any synthesis methods if the sample is plants.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	