

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |   |
|--------------------------|---|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Mathematics</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJOM_88638  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Calculus of orthogonal projectors                     |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article                             |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)  |
|-------------------------------------|---|--|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments |   |  |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | <p>In my opinion, the paper is interesting and well written; the results are new with correct proofs. However, I suggest the authors to revise the paper according to the following remark.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(1) There are few extra spaces in the whole paper. Revise it throughout the paper.</li><li>(2) Page 1, in Proposition 1, line 10+ one bracket is missing.</li><li>(3) Further, let authors check the names of all the journals in the list of references including the abbreviated of ones.</li><li>(4) Without using any logical symbols, that is., for all, there exists, implies, if and only if instead the corresponding logical symbols.</li><li>(5) Taking into consideration the above-said typo, grammatical errors, and suggestions, then the paper may be accepted for publication. It can be recommended after minor revision.</li></ul> <p>However, the authors have to be advised to revise the manuscript by taking care of sentence structure, punctuation, and stylistic errors.</p> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(1) The extra spaces in the paper have been removed</li><li>(2) The missing bracket in proposition 1 page 1 has been added</li><li>(3) The names of all journals in the list of references have been checked and corrected.</li><li>(4) The logical symbols have been removed</li><li>(5) The typos and grammatical errors have been corrected</li></ul> |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    |   |  |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) | There are no ethical issues in this manuscript  |