

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_88635
Title of the Manuscript:	On the Restrained Cost Effective Sets of Some Special Classes of Graphs
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>The general outline of the paper and obtained results are novel. I would like to recommend authors to emphasize the difference of this study with</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Caadan, J. G., Paluga, R. N., & Aniversario, I. S. (2020). Upper Distance k-Cost Effective Number in the Join of Graphs. European Journal of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 13(3), 701-709. <p>in the Introduction or in the Conclusions section.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The difference between the two studies is emphasized in the introduction
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	