

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_87562
Title of the Manuscript:	A 3-Person Non-Zero-Sum Game for Sachet Water Companies
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	There is more to partial conflict 3 person games than just Nash Equilibriums. The authors should check for coalitions forming and compare all results to all games. See Straffin, "Game Theory and Strategy", MAA Pub, pp. 127-134.	I do not agree with the submission of this reviewer because I must not handle all aspects of Game Theory in one small paper. The method of Nash Equilibrium has yielded a very good and realistic result. I have no reason to doubt the result to begin to look for another method for comperism. Even if I am doing that, it should be give me another paper. Lastly, the recommended reference could not be seen online by me
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	