

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_87337
Title of the Manuscript:	EXAMINING FACTORS RESPONSIBLE FOR STUDENTS POOR PERFORMANCE IN MATHEMATICS IN ASESEWA SENIOR HIGH SCHOOL IN THE UPPER MANYAKROBO DISTRICT
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">All research requirements are well written	noted
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">It is suggested to reduce previous literature, it is assumed that it should not exceed 25% of the total researchConclusion and discussion should be more powerful.References and sources of the study must be up to date.	Revision made
Optional/General comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Suggested title: Examining Factors Responsible for Students' Poor Performance in Mathematics from the Point of View of Teachers and Students in Asesewa Senior High School.I suggest delating the research objectives, the research questions are sufficient.	Revision amended

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	