

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_85321
Title of the Manuscript:	A single-machine scheduling with generalized due dates to minimize total weighted late work
Type of the Article	Short communication

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Mathematical model for CDD and PDD needs to be revised(to be more clear) Brief on Tardiness and Late Any sampling done on d? Observed that jobs are ordered on weight. what makes difference ?	Mathematical model for CDD and PDD have already been explained in (1) and (2). Tardiness and late work have already been explained in lines 20--24 in page 2. d is not the value generated for the numerical experiment but a parameter. Since the auxiliary processing time of each job can be different, the order on weight can be different from the one on the ration between the weight and the auxiliary processing time.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments	Few continuity is missing, author can may read the paper once and reorganize	We try to improve the readability.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	