

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_84512
Title of the Manuscript:	Comparing Selected Machine Learning Algorithms in Land Use Land Cover Classification of Landsat 8 (OLI) imagery.
Type of the Article	Short Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>All comments are found inside the manuscript. Open the comments and tracked changes.</p> <p>I have listed a few references, please look through and use them. Especially the few I have listed in your discussion. Use them all, it is of high relevance to your study and potential future adaptations that you can go from here.</p> <p>English vocabulary, flow, and grammar needs serious checking. Many sentences are often repeated in themselves and are monotonous.</p>	I appreciate the reviewer for a thorough job. The references he/she suggested were very useful. I have used them to improve on the study. The vocabularies have been improved upon
Minor REVISION comments	Fix your figures, the maps require details of land marks, town names, etc.	I have re-arranged the figures as advised by the reviewer
Optional/General comments	While your manuscript is complete on the work done, I believe there is still room for improvement. The extras like image processing in detail could be included, as well as more discussions.	I believe there is always room for improvement in the field of research.

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No