

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_83181
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF MONETARY POLICY MECHANISM IN CONTROLLING INFLATION GROWTH IN NIGERIA USING STANDARDIZED REGRESSION MODEL.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The conclusion needs to be revised based on the values of the P-value statistics and the significant level of 0.05% so that a misleading conclusion will not be presented	Noted
Minor REVISION comments	As stated in the comment boxes, general editorial work and corrections as stated.	Okay
Optional/General comments	None	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u> Ethically for P-values to be greater than the significant level of 0.05% and the author takes that as been significant needs to be reviewed.	