

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Mathematics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJOM_81407
Title of the Manuscript:	Probabilities and Probable Solutions of a Modified KdV Type Nonlinear Partial Differential Equation
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjom.com/index.php/ARJOM/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Introduction part should be elaborated in order to have standard literature review. 2.Please check the manuscript carefully for typos and grammar errors.	<p>My answers are given in the following lines.</p> <p>1. Concerning the type of article, in my previous correspondence, I had already specified the type of article. But if you haven't seen this post then it is Original Research Article.</p> <p>2.</p> <p>Reviewer # 1, validated the article and had no specific concerns. But Examiner # 2, had two concerns whose answers are:</p> <p>Concern 1: Introduction part should be elaborated in order to have standard literature review</p> <p>I must admit at first that I did not quite understand the meaning of the question. But if I can afford to shed some light on it, the work is part of the continuity of a mathematical approach that we have just set up to locate the solutions of certain types of nonlinear partial differential equations. This work is exactly the second in a series to come to ensure the effectiveness of this approach. You understand that references are not extended to generalities and should remain within the scope of specific work.</p> <p>Concern 2 : Please check the manuscript carefully for typos and grammar errors</p> <p>We have tried to go over all the work in order to minimize typos and nonsense mistakes throughout the text as much as possible.</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	First of all, the concept of this research article is very interesting. The objective of this work was to show how, in the impossibility of using the integral methods to solve a nonlinear partial differential equation, one can proceed to choose or know the suitable form of solution.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	