

Review Form 1.6

A

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_86467
Title of the Manuscript:	Incidence of Hysterectomy in Tertiary care center: An Audit based Retrospective Study
Type of the Article	

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The final conclusion by the authors: "Furthermore, the laparoscopic route of surgery is the found best route for this surgery with a negligible adverse effect on the health of women", seems biased as majority of these cases were due to non-neoplastic condition. Further the duration of the study was very small to generalize such comment. In properly selected cases, under experienced laparoscopic gynecologist, laparoscopic hysterectomy would give good results. However, in conditions like severe grade 4 endometriosis, suspected malignancies, chronic tuberculosis of genitals or abdomen, a laparoscopic approach may become challenging.	1. The laparoscopic route of surgery related supporting statement is added in discussion section. 2. As per the valuable suggestion conclusion section is modified.
Minor REVISION comments	Minor grammatical errors need correction. Example : Table 2. complications involved with the hysterectomy. (Capital "C" for Complications) The present study concluded that the age group between 40-50 has a high incidence of hysterectomy in India which is due to abnormal uterine bleeding with fibroid uterus (40 -50 years)	All comments are compiled in revised manuscript
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	