

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_82408
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostic Difficulty of Cornual Pregnancy: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Abstract ;objective- prenatal period to be written as antenatal period, and rewrite the objectives</p> <p>Case reporting is not proper words like laparotomy surgery not to be used</p> <p>Words like cornuectomy to be replaced by corneal resection</p> <p>Introduction’;</p> <p>abdominal pain with muscular defense on palpation and cervical motion pain on vaginal examination was found in both abdominal and vaginal examinations respectively? Rewrite the sentences</p> <p>⁵ In our case, cornual pregnancy was proven only after laparotomy surgery.</p> <p>Rewrite the word laparotomy surgery by following laparotomy</p> <p>After the initial sonographic examination, suspicion of ectopic pregnancy was excluded and shifted to peritonitis. Sentence need to be reformed, gross mistake</p> <p>Diagnostic Difficulty of Cornual Pregnancy: A Case Report</p> <p>The heading need to be changed</p> <p>In general the whole article need to be written as</p> <p>Introduction;</p> <p>Case report ;</p> <p>Discussion</p> <p>Conclusions</p>	<p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>The headings were already written according to the author guidelines, however, sub-headings within discussion were added.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	