

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Gynaecology and Obstetrics
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJGO_82408
Title of the Manuscript:	Diagnostic Difficulty of Cornual Pregnancy: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjgo.com/index.php/ARJGO/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<p>Many grammar mistakes.</p> <p>The patient had two consecutive previous marital history, the first marriage <u>lasted for 3 years in 2013</u>, while the <u>second lasted for less than a year in 2019.</u> – Age of the patient ?? What was the age of patient on first marriage and the present age?</p> <p>She recalled having no history of any contraception <u>method usage. she</u> was a non-smoker, non-alcoholic, and has no history of drug abuse</p> <p>Based on the history taking conducted from our patient, <u>the patient had a progressively</u> severe lower abdominal pain with a history of late menstruation, while <u>vaginal bleeding is denied</u> by the patient.</p> <p>General and vital signs examinations of the <u>patient are rather</u> unremarkable, however abdominal pain with muscular defense on palpation and cervical motion pain on vaginal <u>examination was</u> found in both abdominal and vaginal</p> <p>The patient's clinical condition in our <u>case significantly improves after</u> surgery, this is in part due to early onset of management given. <u>The patient was then evaluated</u> for adequate</p> <p>One possible complication this patient might have is infertility due to radical removal of right fallopian tube (salpingectomy).<u>9,10</u></p> <p>hemoglobin level from <u>9.6 to 6.3 g/dL</u> after periodical evaluation – Hb Levels ?? (<u>von slany test</u> – ???? give details) and the patient <u>looks</u> pale – tense to be used?</p> <p>Give detailed surgical options for ruptured cornual pregnancy (<u>wedge resection</u> or repair)</p>	<p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p> <p>Agreed to the reviewer</p>
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	<p>Discuss role of 3D USG and 2D TVS</p>	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	