

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_87278
Title of the Manuscript:	Microbiological Assessment of Fishes Caught in the Two Major Fishing Ground in Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State (Water works River and Ogbese River)
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p><b>abstract</b> ok</p> <p><b>introduction</b> ok * I suggest including the objectives in a paragraph at the end of the introduction.</p> <p>"The objectives of this study are to isolate and identify microorganisms caught in the two main fisheries of the Ado-Ekiti Metropolis and to make useful recommendations based on the results of the study."</p> <p><b>Material and Methods</b> ok</p> <p><b>Results and Discussion</b></p> <p>I suggest not separating discussion results and simply including the Table when it is cited in the text. The text is already written in the discussion part.</p> <p>The discussion is very poor and compared to very few other articles on the topic. You will have to enrich the discussion further.</p> <p><b>Conclusion</b> ok</p>	<p>Corrected</p> <p>Noted and Corrected</p> <p>Discussion expanded and reviewed</p>
Optional/General comments	The manuscript must be placed in the journal's rules	Corrected

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)  There are no ethical issues in this manuscript	Agreed