

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_85708
Title of the Manuscript:	DYNAMIC RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN VULNERABILITY TO CLIMATE CHANGE, LIVELIHOOD DIVERSIFICATION, PERCEPTION ON CLIMATE CHANGE AND INCOME OF THE FARMERS IN NORTH CENTRAL
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)												
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments														
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	<table><tr><td>Introduction:</td><td>In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.</td></tr><tr><td>Methodology:</td><td>An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.</td></tr><tr><td>Results:</td><td>In the result section, an effective way has been used.</td></tr><tr><td>Discussion:</td><td>In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.</td></tr><tr><td>Bibliography/References:</td><td>There is no problem in Reference section.</td></tr><tr><td>Decision:</td><td>There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.</td></tr></table>	Introduction:	In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.	Methodology:	An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.	Results:	In the result section, an effective way has been used.	Discussion:	In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.	Bibliography/References:	There is no problem in Reference section.	Decision:	There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.	Accepted and all corrections were effected
Introduction:	In this section, the importance of the study has been clearly described. Also, convenient literatures have been specified.													
Methodology:	An effective methodology has been used and clarified adequately.													
Results:	In the result section, an effective way has been used.													
Discussion:	In this section together with Results, the findings have been correlated with the literature, effectively.													
Bibliography/References:	There is no problem in Reference section.													
Decision:	There are some small writing mistakes. The manuscript should be re-read by the author, correct these mistakes. Manuscript can be accepted for publication after minor corrections.													
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	As a general evaluation, manuscript contain valuable results and findings for plant sciences studies in the future.													

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	No ethical issues