

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Agriculture
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJA_84800
Title of the Manuscript:	Mechanism of Antagonistic Bioagents in Controlling of Root-Knot Nematodes (Meloidogyne sp.): A review
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarja.com/index.php/ARJA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>Review is well written. The data is presented in an appropriate way. Tables and figures are relevant and clearly presented. It is clear about the significant result. It is clear about the meaningful result.</p> <p>Discussion and Conclusion is well written. The results are discussed from multiple angles. The conclusions answer the aims of the study. The conclusions are supported results. The references are relevant, recent and referenced correctly. However, the author needs to recheck and follow journal guideline.</p>	<p>Thank you.</p> <p>The guideline of the journal has been followed in the revised version of the manuscript.</p>
Minor REVISION comments	<p>Among several mechanism Author Need one picture that showing the main mechanism Antagonistic Bioagents in Controlling of Root-Knot Nematodes.</p>	<p>A picture showing the main mechanism of Antagonistic Bioagents in Controlling Root-Knot Nematodes has been inserted in the revised version of the manuscript (Page 13).</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	