

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89915
Title of the Manuscript:	STREET GAMES IN FILIPINO SOCIETY IN SELECTED RURAL TOWNS OF ILOCOS NORTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> There are many typographical errors in the text<input type="checkbox"/> Old resources have been used, and resources should be used in the returns of the last 5 years<input type="checkbox"/> The statement of the problem and the necessity of the research needs a general revision	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><input type="checkbox"/> Authors, with the help of an English Critic, improved the grammar of the paper.<input type="checkbox"/> There are references within the last 5 years; The authors decided to incorporate the old ones since it is very much relevant to the study as well as specialized in the Filipino society as a whole as Greenbaum (2021) noted that there are no timeliness requirement, specifically in the APA Style guidelines, as it is important to always cite relevant sources regardless of the time it was published. The authors do have recent references within 5 years and more than 5 years that corresponds to the study greatly.<input type="checkbox"/> The authors revised the statement of the problem and the necessity of the research.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	