

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89915
Title of the Manuscript:	STREET GAMES IN FILIPINO SOCIETY IN SELECTED RURAL TOWNS OF ILOCOS NORTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	The manuscript presents a study with qualitative research of an important topic. I'm grateful for the opportunity to rate it. In the methodology, it is observed that the authors could have used thematic content analysis, which is a technique widely used in qualitative research to categorize a topic studied, according to Bardin 2011. Furthermore, they could have associated some software tool to help analyze the content of the focus group interviews. In this way the study would be more valued.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The authors are honoured to be reviewed.• The authors applied the necessary methodology as described in the study to be able to achieved the desired objectives of the study.• The authors acknowledged the importance of software tools in analysing qualitative data but they believed that whether the study is aided by technological tools or not, the results of the study is still valued in its context. However, the authors are grateful still to try new software tools to further enrich their researches in the future.
Minor REVISION comments	The references also need adaptation to journal's rules.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The authors edited the references and see to it that it adheres to journal's rules.
Optional/General comments	The article needs adaptation	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The authors did comply.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	