

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_89915
Title of the Manuscript:	STREET GAMES IN FILIPINO SOCIETY IN SELECTED RURAL TOWNS OF ILOCOS NORTE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Revise the abstract by compressing it to 150 words.Observe the anonymity of the study.Define abbreviated terms.Adjust the sentences for knowledgeable readers.Follow the prescribed table format.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">The abstract was compressed into 150 words.Author believed that amount of anonymity as well as amount of given information is show well as to the objectives of the study.Abbreviated terms were defined.Authors adjusted sentences for knowledgeable readers.The authors decided to remove the table as the author see fit.
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">In reference, be consistent with the formatAvoid using capitalized words.Improve the grammar.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Reference has been corrected to the prescribed format.Authors, with the help of an English Critic, improved the grammar of the paper.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	