

## Review Form 1.6

|                          |  |
|--------------------------|--|
| Journal Name:            | <a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a> |
| Manuscript Number:       | Ms_ARJASS_88431  |
| Title of the Manuscript: | Word Order in Turituran of Batak Toba Language                       |
| Type of the Article      | Original Research Article  |

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

|                                     | Reviewer's comment   | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| <b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments | <b>-The whole paper suffers from grammar, punctuation, and structure mistakes. It needs to be proofread first and edited to address mistakes.</b><br><b>-The paper needs to explain all the acronyms</b><br><b>-the paper lacks consistency in tense, space, and spelling.</b>   | Yes, I agree and I have revised it.   |
| <b>Minor</b> REVISION comments      | -References and citations are wrong. They should be corrected according to the format of the journal. Intext citations do not need footnotes, and the footnotes are not adequate.<br>-Spaces and commas should be consistent<br>-The paper includes around 400 grammar and structure mistakes. Therefore, the researcher can either use the editorial services of the journal or consult a native speaker for editing language and grammar mistakes. Some are suggested in the annotated feedback. | Thank you. I have revised it.   |
| <b>Optional/General</b> comments    | The article is original, and it presents an interesting study. Still, it needs professional proofreading to correct major mistakes, as explained in the above notes and the annotated feedback.  | Ok. Thank you.  |

### PART 2:

|  | Reviewer's comment  | Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here) |
|--|---|---|
| Are there ethical issues in this manuscript? | (If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details) |   |