

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85966
Title of the Manuscript:	An Investigation on post-harvest losses of lemon farmers in Moulvibazar district, Bangladesh: Aspects of Determinants, Practices, and Problems
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Review the value in table 2	The corrections in Table 2 have been done and highlighted by the authors according to the suggestions of the reviewer.
Minor REVISION comments	In introduction section, you have developed many ideas, but the way you have presented them is too entangled. So you should review the section following this frame: First paragraph; analysis on the amount of post harvest losses of horticultural product both in developed and developing countries Second paragraph: provide the major determinants of the post harvest losses from the global level, regional and national, Third paragraph: what is the case of lemon in Bangladesh and what are well documented in this case Fourth paragraph: justify your study interest by providing the research question and give the explanation on why it is so important to carry out this study	The authors give thanks to the reviewers for their valuable comments and suggestions. In the Introduction section, The corrections have been done and highlighted by the authors according to the opinions of the reviewer.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	