

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85824
Title of the Manuscript:	Stylistic Features of Chinese Translation of Emily Dickinson's Poetry: A Comparison of Kang Yanbin's and Wang Jinhua's translations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<p>I think this manuscript is already good, it just needs some addition such as:</p> <p>1. What’s the impact of the findings on the translation study especially for Chinese;</p> <p>2. The author also needs to explain or describe her/his limitation of the paper;</p> <p>3. Recommendations need to be added also, even this manuscript had described the strengths and weaknesses of both translation Kang Yanbin's and Wang Jinhua's translations but the author's point of view regarding both styles. Which one is preffered, or popular, etc, and supported with evidence.</p> <p>4. Future research need to be added in this manuscript.</p>	<p>1. It has been mentioned in the revision version highlighted in yellow colour.</p> <p>2. Yes, it is given in revision version.</p> <p>3. Yes, it is given in revisions version</p> <p>4. It seems that this is unimportant for this article.</p>
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<p>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</p>	