

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Research Journal of Arts &amp; Social Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_85824
Title of the Manuscript:	Stylistic Features of Chinese Translation of Emily Dickinson's Poetry: A Comparison of Kang Yanbin's and Wang Jinhua's translations
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>Need to correct basic errors: spacing, broken words, pagination, needs page numbers for in-text citation (using MLA style), etc</b>	Yes, I have polished the language of the article.
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	I feel I may not be the best reviewer for this paper. Firstly, I don't read Chinese. In addition, I found there to be a lack of justification for the methodology and a lack of researched information to enable the reader to contextualize the study and place it in a meaningful background. The study pre-supposes the reader is as familiar with the field of translation as the writer is. I was not convinced or persuaded as to the reliability of the process involved but then, this is not my field of work. I was however, interested and curious at the outset, but disappointed by the end.	I have added background information in the revision version in order to put reader of this article in a sound context to understand this study.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	