

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Research Journal of Arts & Social Sciences
Manuscript Number:	Ms_ARJASS_83874
Title of the Manuscript:	FISCAL POLICY MEASURES AND INVESTMENT DECISIONS IN NIGERIA (1995 – 2019)
Type of the Article	Research Paper

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalarjass.com/index.php/ARJASS/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• The format of the paper needs to be changed from a thesis format to a journal article format.• Please update the references to include the more recent related research in this area.• Please change the table format for the results to the format used in journal articles.• Elaborate the discussion of findings.• State the alternate hypotheses instead of the null hypotheses.• Change the Problem Statement to The Issues• 	Thank you for your observations and comments The present formant is currently accepted in my institution for the publication of articles. The current references used reflect the various works consulted in the course of this research. I believe the editor is more aware of the most appropriate format for tables, I prefer the table format is handled by the editor, thanks. The hypotheses have been stated in the alternate form. Problem statement is what is acceptable in my institution.
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	