

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_89105
Title of the Manuscript:	Leaf Morphometric Studies in some Ipomoea species of Convolvulaceae family
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalapri.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Abstract:</b> abstract sentence is not clear, authors are advised to check and revise once again with appropriate literature study. <b>Introduction:</b> is not appropriate, important information's are missing. <b>Materials &amp; methods:</b> not clear, unable to understand <b>Results and discussion:</b> not properly sentenced, need more clarity with proper citation. <b>Conclusion:</b> not sufficient and future recommendation should be advocated. <b>Tables:</b> not checked, but in the conclusion part is not required. <b>Reference:</b> not sufficient	As reviewer's comments on my manuscript after that I have rectified those comments as instructed by the reviewer.
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	In between the sentence capital was used by the authors, please make corrections.  Repeated references should be always ( author name et al., a, b, c., etc.,)	As reviewer's comments on my manuscript after that I have rectified those comments as instructed by the reviewer.
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	At present stage this article should not be published.	As reviewer's comments on my manuscript after that I have rectified those comments as instructed by the reviewer.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	no