

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_84078
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD PERFORMANCE OF CUCUMBER (Cucumis sativus) AS INFLUENCED BY SEEDLING AGE AND TRAINING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) (a) <b>Keywords</b>, (b) <b>Purpose/Objectives of the study</b>, (c) <b>Literature Review</b>, and (d) <b>Findings sections</b> are absent in this research study. Please include these important facets in this study.</li><li>2) Under clarity in problem, definition and objectives, researcher did not identify the <b>research questions/problems</b>.</li><li>3) In <b>Conclusion section</b> researcher should include a summary of what you have achieved with the work you describe in the paper, stressing its novelty and relevance and also include major findings/conclusion followed by brief discussion on future perspectives and /or application of present work to other disciplines. In conclusion researcher did not use a single word for future direction/scope of the study</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>A) I have added the keywords and objectives of the study.</li><li>B) There are some review in the introduction section, please read it again.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1) <b>Add</b> "Keywords" section</li><li>2) <b>Add</b> "Objectives of the Study" section.</li><li>3) <b>Add</b> "Literature Review" section</li><li>4) <b>Add</b> "Findings" Section</li><li>5) <b>Minor revision is required in Conclusion section</b></li></ol>	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	Comment to Major Revision	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	