

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Plant Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_APRJ_84078
Title of the Manuscript:	YIELD PERFORMANCE OF CUCUMBER ( <i>Cucumis sativus</i> ) AS INFLUENCED BY SEEDLING AGE AND TRAINING
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaprij.com/index.php/APRJ/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	I consider that it is a good job, however, it is necessary to quantify information such as proportions, irrigation, etc. In addition, I consider that the results should use descriptive statistics using box and whisker plots.	
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	In the methodology it is necessary to add how the weight, diameter, etc. were obtained.	Yes you are absolutely right.
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	In the text and in the tables there are variables that are integers and yet are with decimals. I suggest reviewing, I believe that in some cases you are referring to the average.	Yes you are right. I have tried to make correction according to your suggestions.

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	