

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Oncology Research Journal</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AORJ_87106
Title of the Manuscript:	mRNA Expression of S1PR-1 in DMBA induced leukemia in wistar rats treated with ethanol extract of Hydrocotyle verticillata and Laportea aestuans leaves.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalaorj.com/index.php/AORJ/editorial-policy> )

## Review Form 1.6

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	The information given into the manuscript is good and informative scientifically. However, there are some suggestions/corrections to do in order to make the paper more attractive and informative.	The appropriate corrections have been affected
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>❖ <b>Introduction</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Write it with four paragraph in maximum;</li><li>➤ Avoid unnecessarily long paragraphs. Break up your paragraphs into smaller, useful units;</li><li>➤ The 1st paragraph should contain the general background of the topic;</li><li>➤ The 2nd and 3rd paragraphs should discuss previous works related to the topic;</li><li>➤ The last paragraph should Point out issues that are being addressed about the problem and the purpose of the present work.</li></ul></li><li>❖ <b>Materials &amp; Methods</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Add a data analysis section in the last paragraph with subheadings</li></ul></li><li>❖ <b>Results</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Make sure your graphs and tables can speak for themselves;</li><li>➤ The Results section should contain only results, no discussion;</li><li>➤ You should organize this section with subheading and do not repeat in words everything that your tables and graphs convey. You can, however, point out key findings and offer some text that complements the findings;</li><li>➤ Do not forget to number your figures and tables. You should indicate them into the brief interpretation related to them (two sentences in maximum).</li></ul></li><li>❖ <b>Discussion</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>➤ Make sure that your discussion is concise and informative. Do not ramble and include a great deal of unnecessary information that will likely get your paper rejected or at least be looked upon less favorably;</li><li>➤ The order of figures should follow the discussion themes and not the sequence they were conducted;</li><li>➤ Your discussion section should answer why you obtained the observed results and also why your results are important. Do not simply restate the results. Highlight how your research contributes to the current knowledge in the field and mention the next steps or what remains. Feel free to explain why your results falsify current theories if that is the case;</li><li>➤ Discuss how your data compare with previous results and do not forget to indicate their references with number into the text;</li><li>➤ If possible add the limitations of your study in the last paragraph with a subheading.</li></ul></li></ul>	<p>The introduction have been formatted to 4 paragraph as was told and shortened to make the work more concise and informative.</p> <p>The required corrections have been made including addition of the data analysis section. We included the Results and Discussion in our initial write up as it is the format we got from the journal but if we are asked to make the necessary adjustment, we will and such have been done.</p>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	