

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_84132
Title of the Manuscript:	Monitoring the Quality of Soils around Rivers Wouri and Meme of Cameroon Using Plasticity and XRF
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspn.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">Absence of information's source (fig.1; fig2; tab.1 and tab.2)Tables 3 and 4 badly structured (distribution of spaces,)Absence of the SRF analysis spectra.It is necessary to give an example on the correlation (how you correlate SiO₂ with Al₂O₃ for example)Repetition in the conclusionOrganisation of references is not acceptableInformation's in tables 1 and 2 is the same as in (Norbert et al., 2018)	<ul style="list-style-type: none">-Figs 1 and 2 were drawn using GPS coordinates. Tables 1 and 2 were established from the GPS coordinates and physical examination of the sites-Tables 3 and 4 structures corrected and spaces filled-XRF spectra were not done or obtained-There was no correlation between SiO₂ and Al₂O₃-The repetition has been deleted and the entire conclusion readjustedReferences were done following the style of the paper and an article published by some of the authors and in the same journal last month-This is because the same sites or samples were used by corresponding author in both but different aspects or properties were analysed
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment <i>(if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)</i>
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No