

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Soil Science and Plant Nutrition
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJSSPN_84010
Title of the Manuscript:	Effect of Blended Fertilizer Rate on Yield and Yield Components of Hybrid Maize (Zea mays L.) Varieties under Irrigation Condition in Low Land Area of South Omo Zone
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajsspnp.com/index.php/AJSSPN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	pH instead of Ph Hundred seed weight should be expressed in g Cost and return analysis instead of economic analysis kg instead of Kg (NaPO ₃) instead of (NaPO ₃)6	We have really appreciated the reviewer who was invited by you for their explained briefly the strengths and weaknesses of the article as scientifically reviewed each and everything from title up to references. It has been reviewed by read all manuscript part in sufficient detail word by word so it is very important to assess the quality of the submitted manuscript and make necessary recommendation to improve the quality of our manuscript. we have revised this manuscript as your comment and suggestion accordingly and now we have submitted the revised manuscript. we will very happy to re-write if we have missed during revision time. We have accepted all your comment and highlighted the edited part
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Some spelling mistakes are found which are corrected in review mode	We have accepted all your comment and corrected which are mistakes

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	