

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_85660
Title of the Manuscript:	THE ROLE OF LOW LYING PUBIC TUBERCLE IN THE DEVELOPMENT OF INGUINAL HERNIA
Type of the Article	Study Protocol

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	1. In the reference 6, I could not find the exact description for the anatomic position of pubic tubercle is related to occurrence of inguinal hernia, please check the reference again; 2. About possible etiology mechanism of low-lying pubic tubercle associated with development of inguinal hernia, I am not able to see author's assumption or explanation in the background introduction. 3. If are there some latest published papers about anatomic research of inguinal hernia added in references will be very welcome.	Noted  Revision amended  Corrected
Optional/General comments	In the "AIM", there are two "the" before the "role";	OK

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	