

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Surgery
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_82845
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of gallbladder wall thickness with conversion rate to open cholecystectomy
Type of the Article	Original article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	<p>1.The title should be modified slightly to reflect focus of this study vis-à-vis cholecystitis</p> <p>2. Was this a prospective or retrospective study?</p> <p>3. If prospective, the methodology was grossly inadequate. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were not clearly spelt out.</p> <p>3. What was the criteria for diagnosis of cholecystitis in these patients?</p> <p>4. Did any of the patient have cholelithiasis?</p> <p>5. In the Patients and methods section there was no statement about gall bladder wall thickness being measured. Yet the conclusion was largely all about wall thickness.</p> <p>6. Was the study about factors predicting conversion to open cholecystectomy of which wall thickness may just have been one factor</p>	<p>Answer for the reviewer:</p> <p>1. The title should be modified slightly to reflect the focus of this study vis-à-vis cholecystitis. I change it</p> <p>2. Was this a prospective or retrospective study? it's a prospective study</p> <p>3. If prospective, the methodology was grossly inadequate. The inclusion and exclusion criteria were not clearly spelled out. We include patients with chronic cholecystitis Patients with acute cholecystitis were excluded</p> <p>4 . What were the criteria for the diagnosis of cholecystitis in these patients? Diagnostic criteria include clinical signs and symptoms Ultrasonic findings of cholecystitis</p> <p>5. Did any of the patients have cholelithiasis? All patients with gall bladder stones without choledocholithiasis</p> <p>6. In the Patients and methods section there was no statement about gall bladder wall thickness being measured. Yet the conclusion was largely all about wall thickness. Gall bladder wall thickness is an important predictor for conversion we depend on ultrasonic measurement for the wall thickness of each case .we found that if the wall thickness exceeds normal thickness(2_3)mm the risk of conversion increases.</p> <p>Note: I Added these answers to my paper</p>
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments		
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	