

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Surgery</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRS_81929
Title of the Manuscript:	Chronic Granulomatous Schistosomal Cholecystitis in Non-Endemic Zone, A Rare one: A Case Report
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrs.com/index.php/AJRS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. Are the renal dysfunction comments necessary for this case-pre- op notes shorter.</li><li>2. Pre-op and post op notes can be shortened</li><li>3. DTPA scan of essential</li><li>4. Description of gross GB specimen</li><li>5. Point out eggs in HPE picture</li><li>6. References -only two are less than five years old ,rest all our ancient.</li></ol>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1.Renal dysfunction description is only meant to emphasize about the added uniqueness of the index presentation.</li><li>2 Pre –op and post op. notes shortened.</li><li>3.DTPA scan is technically necessary and medico legally justified .</li><li>4. Description of gross GB specimen done. (Blue colored text)</li><li>5. eggs pointed out in the picture. (Blue coloured arrow pointer)</li><li>6. References are sparse due to uncommon ness of the clinical situation</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No ethical issues with this manuscript.