

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_89798
Title of the Manuscript:	Correlation of Multiparametric MRI-estimated Vesical Imaging Reporting and Data System (VI-RADS) score with Muscle Invasion and Tumor Grade on TURBT in Patients with Urothelial Bladder Cancer: A Prospective Cross-sectional Study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<div>1- The title of the paper looks good but in the same time, it can be modified to represent the manuscript in a better way</div> <div>2- Some typos must be corrected in the whole manuscript (some spaces among words are missing, etc.)</div> <div>3- What of the novelty?</div> <div>4- .The materials and methods should be change as following:  - the total samples number should be presented</div> <div>5- The author should focus mainly on the importance and significance of the study and comparison</div>	<div>1. This is the most apt title that we could come up with. We are open to suggestions from the reviewer.</div> <div>2. Corrections have been made.</div> <div>3. This is a correlation study between mpMRI-derived Vi-RADS score and muscle-invasiveness and tumor grade on TURBT. This is not a new concept. Previous studies have been carried out regarding the same.</div> <div>4. The total sample number has been presented in the Results section</div> <div>5.</div>

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues.