

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_82938
Title of the Manuscript:	Successful laparoscopic management of hydroperitoneum- a rare complication of retrograde intra renal surgery (RIRS).
Type of the Article	Case Report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrru.com/index.php/AJRRU/editorial-policy>)

Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	1.1 (p1) name(s) of author(s) and their affiliations. Also the name of the corresponding author should be indicated along with telephone and fax numbers along with full postal address and e-mail address. 1.2 (p5) no information about consent or ethical approval mentioned in this study	1.1: <u>Dr Sneha Lad</u> (MS General Surgery, Zen Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India) <u>Dr Bhavin Patel</u> (DNB urosurgery, Zen Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India, Excel Urology Centre, Mumbai, India) <u>Dr Anil Bradoo</u> (MCH DNB Urosurgery, FRCS, Zen Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India, Excel Urology Centre, Mumbai, India) <u>Dr Roy Patankar</u> (MS General Surgery, PhD gastroenterology, FRCS, Zen Multispeciality Hospital, Mumbai, India) <u>Name of corresponding author and email add:</u> Dr Sneha Lad; ladsneha3@gmail.com. <u>Postal address and telephone of corresponding author:</u> Plot no 425, 10 th road, Jai Ambe Nagar, Chembur, Mumbai, Maharashtra, 400071, India ; Ph: 022-2526-5656 (there is no FAX number) 1.2: consent of the patient was taken prior to surgery and since it was emergency, there was no time to take ethical committee approval.
Minor REVISION comments	2.1 (p2) Author refers to '12mm Hg' when correct unit is '12 mmHg' 2.2 (p2) Figure 1 and (p3) Figure 2 has no caption. Suggest adding informative caption for Figure 1a-1b and 2a-2b 2.3 (p5) Declaration of competing interest by writing sentence: "Author(s) have declared that no competing interests exist."	The following corrections and made in the paper.
Optional/General comments	3.1 (p1) add extra keyword. About 4-8 keywords should be given 3.2 (p1) 'has developed' rather than 'has evolved' 3.3 (p2) add 'bpm' to patient 'pulse rate' information. Move word 'with' before 'decreased' to before 'ABG showed' 3.4 (p2) 'to recognize the cause' rather than 'to know the cause' 3.5 (p3) 'had lower calyx stone and it is more difficultly accessible compared to middle and upper pole stones' rather than 'had lower calyx stone and, lower pole stones can be reached more difficultly compared to middle and upper pole stones' 3.2 (p5) Would be useful to include expansion or full form list of the abbreviations here	The following corrections are made in the paper.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	There are no ethical issues in this manuscript.