

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Urology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRU_71939
Title of the Manuscript:	Spontaneous rupture and calcification of a neglected double J ureteral stent revealed by stenturia: a case report and review of the literature
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://peerreviewcentral.com/page/manuscript-withdrawal-policy>)

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Topic, objective of the abstract, introduction, case summary, technical terminology as well as grammar. The conclusion is not conveying message of the paper</b>	Noted
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Discussion, tender for pain in examination and the name of procedure. Laser cystoureteroscopic.... But ureteroscopy and laser fragmentation or lithotripsy	Noted
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	The paper need upgrade in content and the grammar.	Corrected

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u>	