

**Review Form 1.6**

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_84854
Title of the Manuscript:	Traumatic Corneal Perforation, Its Clinical Profile and Outcome in Eastern Nepal
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

**General guideline for Peer Review process:**

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.  
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy> )

**PART 1: Review Comments**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<p>Can you explain how the visual acuity was done in the first visit and the last one? You have to be more specific with the methods regarding the data that have collected.</p> <p>I would recommend explaining with words the results after each table in the paper. I would prefer to explain the difference found in each table if you found any important data.</p>	<p>The details of how the visual acuity was examined has been added in the methodology of the paper. Further elaboration has been made on the different examinations data that we had collected.</p> <p>The finding of the table had been elaborated in words, but rearrangement of the table has been made to meet the flow of the findings.</p>
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments		
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	<p>The article is well constructed and well organized.</p>	

**PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there are no any ethical issues in this manuscript.