

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_83891
Title of the Manuscript:	Labs, signs, history-unravelling the TORCH mystery!
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.
To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1.How was the patient managed on referral? What systemic treatment was started? 2. why was patient not treated for ophthalic complains? Despite the findings and tests done the patient was not managed for ophthalmic complains and was just referred? 3. on follow up after 2 months, what all symptoms improved? No documentation of visual acuity or grading of vitreous haze, and comparison is done. It would be better if all these are also included 4. need to add more references	Have made all changes as suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	The course of the disease can be better described	
<u>Optional/General</u> comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	