

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_83891
Title of the Manuscript:	Labs, signs, history-unravelling the TORCH mystery!
Type of the Article	Case report

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<u>Compulsory</u> REVISION comments	1. There is no mention of what treatment the patient received.	I have added the treatment as suggested.
<u>Minor</u> REVISION comments	1. Final visual acuity of the patient after two months is not discussed. 2. Also, It'll be better if the treatment regimens are also discussed in the discussion. 3. VDRL, RPR, ESR, CBC, HHH, CXR..... Please write full forms and abbreviate in bracket and upon next use in text.	Visual acuity and full forms of the tests are added and revised in the manuscript.
<u>Optional/General</u> comments	Well scripted case report.	Thank you

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	