

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Ophthalmology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRROP_83119
Title of the Manuscript:	A Comparative Study and Review of Siddha Ophthalmology from the Classical Siddha Literature Agatthiar Nayana Vidhi-500
Type of the Article	Review Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal’s peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of ‘**lack of Novelty**’, provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrop.com/index.php/AJRROP/editorial-policy> )

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p><b>Elaborate discussion part as it discussing only classification of diseases and is not justifying the objectives of study</b></p> <p><b>Methodology includes only classification of diseases which is incomplete for review</b></p> <p><b>Complete reference part as per the journal format</b></p>	<p>The objective of the study is to compare the signs and symptoms of the eye diseases with modern findings and ensure the researchers would find and understand that the classical Siddha texts contain explanations about various eye diseases that are dealt by modern ophthalmic science only in the recent past. Now the discussion part is modified and few sentences are included.</p> <p>The present study is aimed at reviewing the ophthalmic diseases mentioned by various Siddhars and the objective of the study is to compare the signs and symptoms of the eye diseases with modern findings. 96 diseases along their sub classifications were described in Siddha texts which are lengthy and the classical verses needs English translation. The review is aimed on most appropriate comparison of diseases mentioned in Siddha literatures with recent eye diseases. We hope the current review would certainly bring more papers in future for each diseases i,e 96 eye diseases. The limitation of the study is to explore the mere comparison of ancient Siddha terminology and recent modern terminologies.</p> <p>We are pleased to state that we will submit separate research papers regarding the complete references of each disease in future. Sorry for the inconveniences.</p>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	-	
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	-	

[Review Form 1.6](#)

PART 2:

	Reviewer’s comment	Author’s comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	