

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_85329
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF HISTOLOGICAL ACTIVITY IN PATIENTS WITH ULCERATIVE COLITIS USING NANCY INDEX AND ITS CORRELATION WITH ENDOSCOPIC SCORE (UCEIS) – A PROSPECTIVE STUDY
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	The sampling method is not clear What the effect of treatment on grading In comparison to naive patients	1. All patients with a diagnosis of ulcerative colitis(Newly detected or follow up cases) – who underwent endoscopy + biopsy were enrolled into the study consecutively if they satisfied the inclusion and exclusion criteria. – changes have been highlighted in the manuscript. 2. The endoscopic and histologic grading (UCEIS and NI) – is independent of whether the patient is on treatment or not.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	There are no ethical issues in this study. The study has been approved by the Ethics and scientific committee of the hospital.