

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Gastroenterology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRGA_82862
Title of the Manuscript:	Recurrent acute pancreatitis due to eosinophilic gastroenteritis
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrrga.com/index.php/AJRRGA/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- the proposition - EGD findings showed mild erythematous mucosal change but the duodenum was unremarkable. is ambiguous - to be reformulated.- the references are a bit old; the most recent is from 2010	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- We change the proposition: EGD findings showed Slightly erythematous gastric mucosa with normal duodenal mucosa. the duodenal folds are also regular.
Optional/General comments	<p>It is a simple but interesting case.</p> <p>The authors warn of this possible etiology in cases of acute pancreatitis in which we do not find an obvious cause</p> <p>However, I did not understand how the authors interpreted ascites in this case</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- We thought that ascitis is due to eosinophilic infiltration of subserosa. I our case might be the subserosal type, although we could not identify eosinophilic ascites. Although it cannot be demonstrated that it is an ascites rich in eosinophils. The ascites was of low abundance and we could not do an exploratory puncture.

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	No ethical issues