

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research and Reports in Endocrinology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRRE_87617
Title of the Manuscript:	ASSESSMENT OF AWARENESS, KNOWLEDGE AND SKILLS TOWARDS DIABETES MELLITUS AND ITS COMPLICATIONS IN COASTAL SOUTH INDIAN DIABETIC POPULATION: A CROSS SECTIONAL STUDY.
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrre.com/index.php/AJRRE/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	Phrases should be written in full when used first time. Percentages should add up to 100.0%. Make sure to use the same decimal points for all numbers. Tables 2 (awareness and complications) and 3 (awareness) are essentially about aware, can be merged. Table 2 and Figure 1 have the information, so remove one. The sentences are too long and mostly confusing particularly in the results section. The grammar is very poor, needs marked improvement. This manuscript is essentially about Diabetes, it is quite unimaginable that the subject title is abbreviated <b>dm</b> . Most of the references do not conform with international standards. The discussion carries little depth as reasons for findings that were contradictory to earlier findings were not given.	Modified as suggestion
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	As highlighted in body of the manuscript.	Noted
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The quality of this paper needs remarkable improvement.	Revised

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	