

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_85429
Title of the Manuscript:	Microalbuminuria and its clinical correlates in individuals with Sickle cell trait: A comparative study
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments		
Minor REVISION comments	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Kindly mention what were there any age cut offs for individuals included in the study if any, whether this study involved pediatric patients or only above 18 years etc- Was there any other confounding factors in SCT population that could lead to change in albuminuria?- The UACR is normal in the SCT group, can the author explain a bit more, on what basis they recommend based on data that albuminuria to be used a biomarker for renal dysfunction.	<ul style="list-style-type: none">- Inclusion criteria for this study were patients aged 18years and above and also SCA patients who were in steady state defined by absence of crises and blood transfusion in the last 3 months.- No confounding factors that could lead to change in albuminuria among our SCT population were identified. "Confounding factors excluded from this study includes: individuals with history of hypertension, diabetes mellitus or recent urinary infection".- National Kidney Disease Education Program (NKDEP) recommendation (ACR) in the diagnosis of microalbuminuria was use.
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	COMPETING INTERESTS DISCLAIMER: We have declared that no competing interests exist. The products used for this research are commonly and predominantly use products in our area of research and country. There is absolutely no conflict of interest between the authors and producers of the products because we do not intend to use these products as an avenue for any litigation but for the advancement of knowledge. Also, the research was not funded by the producing company rather it was funded by personal efforts of the authors.