

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Nephrology</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRN_83182
Title of the Manuscript:	Acute Kidney Injury Due To Wild Mushroom Consumption: Hemodialysis And Ozonotherapy Combination A Therapeutic Asset (About One Case)
Type of the Article	Case study

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRN/editorial-policy> )

### **PART 1:** Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Compulsory</u></b> REVISION comments	<b>Some couple of words were coloured in red and added a mid-line. They should be erased because:</b> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>- <b>Some are wrongly used</b></li><li>- <b>Some are copy-paste from another article and plagiarism should be avoided</b></li><li>- <b>Others are misspelled words</b></li></ul>	Dear Reviewer We thank you very much for your comments We have corrected the manuscript taking them into account. the correction was made in revision mode and sometimes words or groups of words are highlighted in yellow We thank you for your feedback
<b><u>Minor</u></b> REVISION comments	Some commas were added – light green colour. Some spaces were erased. The References were adjusted because they were taken from the Internet, without checking what it became in this text.	
<b><u>Optional/General</u></b> comments	A bit careless written article. At the phrase with "general condition", the author should choose the degree of physical status. I suggested "critical but stable". As for WHO classification, at least one reference to be added (about the degrees of classification), and to check the writing: either III or 3.	

### **PART 2:**

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b><u>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</u></b>	<b><u>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</u></b>	
<b>If plagiarism is suspected, <u>please provide related proofs or web links.</u></b>	I removed (strikethrough words in red) the sequence of words that were similar to that from the article.	Thank you a lot