

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Nursing and Health
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRNH_85863
Title of the Manuscript:	MIDWIVES KNOWLEDGE OF PARTOGRAPH TOWARDS PREVENTION OF MATERNAL AND NEONATAL MORTALITY IN SPECIALIST HOSPITAL GOMBE
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrn.com/index.php/AJRNH/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<ol style="list-style-type: none">1. In the discussion chapter, to add from the research results, an analysis of the discussion is carried out one by one (can be compared with the results of previous studies, existing theories) looking for gaps then a complete and detailed discussion is carried out.2. Addition of theory or results of previous research should consider the year of publication (at least use a reference source 5 years from the current year)3. It is necessary to apply for ethical clearance to the ethics committee so that there is evidence that the research conducted does not violate ethics to protect subjects and avoid conflicts of interest because the research involves respondent data that measures the level of knowledge of midwives in a hospital so it is necessary to pay attention to aspects of research ethics.	Revised
Minor REVISION comments	In the discussion or discussion section, it is necessary to explain one by one the research results obtained and use new references (at least 5 years from the current year).	Noted
Optional/General comments	In general it is good but it needs an ethical test and it is written where to do the ethical test with a certificate number.	

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	