

Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	Asian Journal of Research in Zoology
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIZ_89065
Title of the Manuscript:	Wildlife Forensics: A Case Study on Golden Jackal Canis aureus in India using canid head and tailor-made musk pod
Type of the Article	Case study

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajriz.com/index.php/AJRIZ/editorial-policy>)

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	<p>The work is well structured in terms of its writing and also the content analyzed by the authors.</p> <p>The topic is interesting for the knowledge of researchers in general and the research has significant validity for the world literature.</p> <p>No suggestions to be made</p>	<p>I thank you for spending your valuable time in revising our manuscript and validating it to be appropriate for publication.</p>
Minor REVISION comments		
Optional/General comments		

PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	No, there is no ethical issues in the manuscript.