

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_84845
Title of the Manuscript:	MODULATION OF OBESITY IN SUB-SAHARAN AFRICA USING COENZYME Q10: A REVIEW
Type of the Article	Review Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<p>It presents common facts about obesity and about CoQ10, as reported in the scientific literature. As a review article is well documented, but without a great relevance.</p> <p>Starting with page 3 (types of obesity), I suggest to put all the text in Results and Discussion section.</p> <p>The article can be accepted if fits with the journal's standards.</p>	<p>Thank you for your review and comments.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. <b>I have accepted</b> the reviewer's comment on adding a result and discussion section at the appropriate place.</li><li>2. <b>I disagree</b> with the reviewer's assessment that it is irrelevant. As I noted in my publication, "scientific investigations have called into doubt the use of COQ<sub>10</sub> in the treatment of obesity, particularly because there is no proof that COQ<sub>10</sub> administration results in weight loss." So, my review publication instructs a researcher on how to investigate the role and effect of CoQ<sub>10</sub> in obesity therapy. In fact, a physiology researcher whom I enlisted to read my work before I submitted it plans to conduct wet lab research in this area.</li></ol>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments		
<b>Optional/General</b> comments		

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?	(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)	