

## Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	<a href="#">Asian Journal of Research in Medical and Pharmaceutical Sciences</a>
Manuscript Number:	Ms_AJRIMPS_79569
Title of the Manuscript:	Effects of medical drugs according to sweating localization in primary hyperhidrosis
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

### General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound. To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<https://www.journalajrimps.com/index.php/AJRIMPS/editorial-policy> )

### PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Compulsory</b> REVISION comments	<b>To my mind, the number of respondents is not optimal to make so general conclusions</b> <b>Incomplete information about patients` and disease's characteristics</b> <b>No information about protocol of examination and results for conclusions</b>	<b>Of course, the number of respondents is not sufficient for total generalization. However, an evaluation was made according to the outcomes of hyperhidrosis patients who admitted to our clinic in the article.</b> <b>More characteristic information about the disease has been added. The clinical features of the patients were reviewed again.</b> <b>The review was done retrospectively. The results of the patients in the first month following the drug use were used as a criterion. Evaluation results were statistically significant according to p&lt;0.05 95%CI criteria.</b>
<b>Minor</b> REVISION comments	There are several orthographic and punctuation problems in the text.	<b>The article has been re-evaluated. Corrected spelling and punctuation usage.</b>
<b>Optional/General</b> comments	The chosen research topic is quite relevant, the conclusions are correspond to the goals and objectives of the research.	<b>Thank you</b>

### PART 2:

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
<b>Are there ethical issues in this manuscript?</b>	<i>(If yes, Kindly please write down the ethical issues here in details)</i>	<b>There are no ethical issues in this article.</b>